C A N A D A PROVINCE OF QUEBEC DISTRICT OF MONTREAL

SUPERIOR COURT (CLASS ACTION)

No: 500-06-

GEORGE MICHAEL DIGGS, residing at the Rivière-des-Prairies Detention Centre, 11 900 Armand-Chaput Avenue, Montréal, District of Montréal, Province of Québec, H1C 1S7

Applicant

ဂ

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF QUEBEC, having an establishment at 1 Notre-Dame Street East, 8 Floor, Montreal, District of Montreal, Province of Quebec, H2Y 1B6

Defendant

APPLICATION FOR AUTHORIZATION TO INSTITUTE A CLASS ACTION AND TO OBTAIN THE STATUS OF REPRESENTATIVE (Article 575 C.c.p.)

FOLLOWING: DISTRICT OF TO A JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR MONTREAL, I H COURT, SITTING IN AND FOR THE JUDICIAL

- . INTRODUCTION
- 23 hours a day without meaningful human contact; in segregation for disciplinary reasons. Those inmates remain confined to a cell for Every year, Quebec Correctional Services ("Q.C.S.") places thousands of inmates
- 2 below and is undertaken despite the Q.C.S.'s knowledge of these consequences; The practice violates the fundamental rights of the members of the group defined

- ယ defendant's fault; Freedoms ("Canadian Charter") and by sections 1, 24 and 25 of the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms ("Quebec Charter") (jointly, the "Charters"). Class members are also entitled to be compensated for damages caused by the are protected by sections 7, 9, and 12 of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Class members are entitled to compensation for the violation of their rights, which
- 4 Sub-group members suffering from a compensated for the violation of their rights as protected Canadian Charter and by section 10 of the Quebec Charter, mental disorder are by section 15 also entitled of the ð be

II. DESCRIPTION OF THE GROUP

5 the following class, of which he is a member: The plaintiff wishes to bring a class action on behalf of the individuals included in

day, following a decisior ("disciplinary segregation"); detention centre in Quebec, i.e., confined to a cell for at least 22 hours per Any person who following was kept in "solitary confinement" in decision of the institution's disciplinary committee provincial

0 suffered additional infringements of their rights: This class includes natural persons defined by the following sub-group who have

diagnosed with a mental disorder by a health professional, prior to placement in disciplinary segregation; Any person included in the class who were diagnosed or could have been

III. THE NATURE OF CLASS ACTION

.7 behalf compensatory and punitive damages; The nature of the action that the plaintiff intends to bring against the defendant on 으 the class members S an action for declaratory judgment and for

IV. THE PARTIES

.> The defendant and the Quebec Correctional Services

00 S The defendant represents the Ministry of Public Security ("M.P.S."), whose Minister responsible for the administration of provincial detention facilities in Québec

Act Respecting the Ministère de la Sécurité publique, c. M-19.3, s. 9(4).

- 9 The role of Q.C.S. is defined in the Act Respecting the Québec Correctional System ("A.R.Q.C.S."). Section 1 sets out the objectives of the Q.C.S., which is to promote the social reintegration of offenders while respecting their fundamental
- 10. Q.C.S. support hereof, and the Analyse prospective de la population carcérale des établissements de détention du Québec 2017-2018 à 2027-2028 dated January longer segregation; detention facility, 2020, Exhibit P-2 in support hereof. These facilities include the Rivière-des-Prairies Correctionnels du Québec : Document d'information dated 2014, operates 18 detention facilities, and used to operate another which is no 3 operation, where as appears from the applicant was recently placed the documents entitled in disciplinary Exhibit P-1 in Les Services

B. The applicant

- 11. The applicant was born on December 3, 1983;
- 12. him; He facility since autumn of 2018. He has not been convicted of the charges against is currently in pretrial detention at Rivière-des-Prairies and has been in that
- 3 during his lifetime, including Rivière-des-Prairies, Hull, Bordeaux, Quebec City and The applicant has been incarcerated in numerous provincial detention facilities
- 4 The applicant was subjected to numerous stays in disciplinary segregation in these detention facilities and has had his fundamental rights violated in these facilities;
- 15 in the process leading to their imposition; disciplinary segregations, as well as the numerous breaches of procedural fairness applicant has also suffered serious consequences as മ result of these
- 16. The applicant has a claim against the defendant as set out below;

V. THE FACTS

A. Disciplinary segregation

17. segregation in Quebec detention facilities are as follows: liberty that the state can impose on an individual. The characteristics of disciplinary Segregation is literally a prison within a prison, and is the most drastic deprivation of

2

An Act Respecting the Québec Correctional System, LRQ, c L-1.1, LRQ, c S-4.01, s. 1.

- Inmates who are placed in disciplinary segregation are confined to a cell for approximately 23 hours a day;
- 17.2 bunk and a toilet; They are alone in the isolation cell, which contains no furniture other than a
- 17.3. through an opening in the door; They eat all their meals alone in the isolation cell, with the food distributed
- 17.4. Most interactions with Q.C.S. and medical staff take place through the food
- 17.5 Inmates Interactions with Q.C.S. are minimal; cease ð have regular interactions with other inmates and
- 17.6 disciplinary segregation are therefore expelled from it; rehabilitation programs, They deprived involved ⊒. of indoor an educational program before except for a walk in the outer courtyard. Inmates and outdoor activities, being placed in educational
- 17.7. for cantine items, such as phone cards They cannot work during their time in confinement, losing the capacity to pay q contact their family, hygiene
- 17.8. distraction: no books, television or radio; They have no access to anything that could provide them with minimal
- 17.9. They cannot have any contact with their relatives, by phone or in person;
- 17.10. Their access to their personal belongings during the period of segregation is access to their sanitary items and without being able to change their clothing; restricted. As a result, inmates may spend several days or weeks without
- 17.11. They may only leave the segregation cell for about one hour a day, during may do only every other day, or when walking outside alone; which they must wear handcuffs, except when taking a shower, which they
- 17.12. Q.C.S. employees routinely conduct strip searches before placing inmates in disciplinary segregation;
- 17.13. Inmates are made to take off their shoes when they are placed in disciplinary segregation;
- 17.14. Inmates are often required to wear a blue robe for the first 24 hours of their placement in disciplinary segregation;

- 17.15. Hygienic conditions in segregation cells are often deplorable, as appears in the winter; ventilation system. The cells are often very hot in the summer and very cold the walls of the segregation cells, which have no windows and a poor **Exhibit P-3** 3 in support hereof. In particular, there is often urine and feces on from the Quebec Ombudsman's Rapport annuel d'activités 2014-2015,
- 28 In Quebec's prisons, disciplinary segregation, sometimes referred to as "the hole" disciplinary sanctions as described above; or "dead lock", includes confinement and reclusion, since Q.C.S. applies these

Ē The psychological effects of segregation

- 19. support hereof; of disciplinary segregation ("2008 UN Report"), at paragraphs 79-85, Exhibit P-4 in Degrading Treatment or Punishment issued a report in which he addressed the use In July 2008, the Special Rapporteur on Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or
- 20. sanction; physical and mental effects, expressing concerns regarding its use as a disciplinary prolonged segregation could violate the International Convention on Civil and He noted that as early as 1992, the Human Rights Committee acknowledged that Political Rights and that the Committee against Torture had recognized its adverse
- 21. kept to a minimum, should only apply in very exceptional cases, for period of time as possible, and should only be a measure of last resort⁴; The Special Rapporteur on Torture Treatment or Punishment was of the opinion that solitary confinement should be and Other Cruel, Inhuman or as short a Degrading
- 22. use of segregation ("2011 UN Report"), Exhibit P-5 in support hereof; Degrading Treatment or Punishment released a second report, specifically on the On August 5, 2011, the Special Rapporteur on Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or
- 23. disciplinary segregation practiced in Quebec detention facilities; The definition of segregation contained in the 2011 UN Report corresponds to the
- 24. solitary confinement5 measure within prisons was likely the most pervasive rationale for the The 2011 UN Report noted that the use of solitary confinement as a disciplinary

G

Quebec Ombudsman, *Rapport annuel d'activités 2014-2015*, Exhibit P-3, p. 77. See 2008 UN Report, Exhibit P-4, para 83. See 2011 UN Report, Exhibit P-5, para. 41.

ω 4

- 25. its symptoms include anxiety, depression, anger, cognitive disturbances, perceptual distortions, paranoia, psychosis, and self-harm⁶; The 2011 UN Report added that segregation could cause psychotic disturbances
- 26. segregation'; disruption, impaired memory The 2011 UN Report also explained that segregation can cause continuous sleep depression, and concentration, anxiety, phobias, even emotional dependence, long after being released confusion,
- 27. days in segregation, and the health risks rise with each additional day spent in such The 2011 UN Report added that negative health effects could occur after only a few conditions°
- 28. P-6 in support hereof; Stuart Grassian, Psychiatric Effects of Solitary Confinement, January 2006, Exhibit These conclusions of the 2011 UN Report are mostly based on an article by Dr.
- 29. Dr. thinking, agitation, irritability, and difficulty tolerating external stimuli; segregation include stupor, difficulties with thinking and concentrating, obsessional Grassian explained that the most common symptoms associated
- 30. criminal behaviour", and thus constitutes a breach of section 7 of the International inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment9; imposes severe mental pain and suffering beyond any reasonable retribution for punishment, isolation "cannot be Consequently, the Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, 2011 UN Report determined that for the justified for any reason, precisely because which protects all persons from cruel, purposes
- <u>3</u> disciplinary measure [...] [and] recommend[ed] that States develop and implement alternative disciplinary sanctions¹⁰; confinement as a sanction - either as a part of a judicially imposed sanction or a 2011 UN Report "urge[d] States to prohibit the imposition 으 solitary
- 32. before an independent body and to have free access to counsel for the period of time they are held in segregation¹¹. be given the opportunity, inter alia, to request a review of their disciplinary sanction Finally, the 2011 UN Report concluded that all persons held in segregation ought to
- <u>ვ</u> Similarly, the Nelson Mandela Rules ("Mandela Rules"), adopted by United Nations General Assembly resolution 70/175 of 17 December 2015 Exhibit P-7 in support

See See 2011 UN Report, Exhibit P-5, paras. 62-63. 2011 UN Report, Exhibit P-5, paras. 64-65. 2011 UN Report, Exhibit P-5, paras. 55 and 2011 UN Report, Exhibit P-5, para. 72. 2011 UN Report, Exhibit P-5, para. 84.

^{7 6}

œ See See e 2011 UN Report, E paras. 55 and

⁹ 10

See See Exhibit P-5, paras. 88-89 and 93-99

including the following: hereof, contain numerous provisions applying to disciplinary segregation measures,

- 33.1. in accordance with the regulations set out in the law (Rule 37); Disciplinary segregation must be specifically authorized by law and must be
- 33.2. with rule 37 No prisoner shall be placed in disciplinary segregation except in accordance and the principles of fairness and due process (rule 39);
- 33.3 sanction imposed shall be proportionate to the offence (Rule 39); No prisoner shall be punished twice for the same act and the disciplinary
- 33.4 A prisoner charged with a disciplinary offence shall be given adequate time and facilities for the preparation of his defense (rule 41);
- 33.5 justice so require, particularly in serious disciplinary cases (rule 41); defend himself or herself through legal assistance when the interests A detained person charged with a disciplinary offence shall be allowed 으 q
- 33.6 conditions would be exacerbated by such measures (rule 45); prohibited in the case of prisoners with mental disabilities when their Solitary confinement, which includes disciplinary segregation, shall
- 34. isolation can have on inmates in his *Rapport annuel d'activités 2015-2016*, **Exhibit P-8**¹² in support hereof; In Quebec, the Quebec Ombudsman has also listed the serious consequences that
- 35. paranola, sleep disruption, impaired memory, and concentration; consequences, the 2011 including UN Report, anxiety, **=**: noted cognitive that impairment, segregation impaired perception, can cause serious
- 36 the very mission of Q.C.S.; segregation compromises the ability of inmates to reintegrate society, contrary to Finally, both the Quebec and the international literature conclude that disciplinary

<u>ဂ</u> The legal framework for disciplinary segregation

- 37. provincial directives and instructions; Q.C.S. are governed by the A.R.Q.C.S. and its regulations, as well as by various
- 38 which are instead governed under the terms "confinement" The A.R.Q.C.S. does not provide guidelines for disciplinary segregation measures, Regulation under the Act respecting the Québec and "reclusion" by the correctional system

¹² Quebec Ombudsman, Rapport annuel d'activités 2015-2016, Exhibit P-8, p. 85

- incarcerated person ("D.R.I.P."); ("R.A.R.Q.C.S.") and by the directive entitled Discipline and responsibility of the
- 39. as described above in paragraphs 17.1 to 17.15; this application. These disciplinary sanctions are analogous to solitary confinement Confinement and reclusion constitute disciplinary segregation for the purposes
- 40. imposed for any breach; Section 74 of the R.A.R.Q.C.S. provides that confinement or reclusion may be
- 41. behaviour, in particular : Breaches are referred to under article 5.2 of the D.R.I.P and cover a broad range of
- 41.1. Using physical violence, abusive or threatening language or gestures;
- 41.2. Altering or damaging property;
- 41.3. Interfering with the course of activities;
- 41.4. Committing obscene acts; and
- Refusing to comply with institutional regulations or directives;
- 42. and determines, if necessary, the sanction to be imposed (s. 5.3 D.R.I.P.); When a breach is noted, the Disciplinary Committee intervenes, makes a decision
- 43. 5.6.2 D.R.I.P.); example, one breach may result in the imposition of confinement and reclusion (s. Several sanctions of different kinds may be imposed for the same breach,
- 44. Disciplinary segregation may be imposed for up to 12 days per breach, which is the sum of the maximum durations provided for the confinement and reclusion (s. 5.6.1 and 5.6.2 *D.R.I.P.*); disciplinary sanctions <u>ç</u>
- 45. In practice, nombre de jours de réclusion, Exhibit P-9, in support hereof; segregation for more than 12 days, see the Demande d'accès à l'information sur le however, Class members are frequently placed in disciplinary

entitled when they are placed in disciplinary segregation The D.R.I.P. and Q.C.S. deny the procedural fairness to which class members are

46. rights requires that any decision to impose disciplinary segregation must respect a high degree of procedural fairness; The seriousness of the infringement of the inmates' residual liberty and human

- 47. application do not adequately protect the fundamental rights of detainees; However, both the procedure provided for at the hearing under the D.R.I.P. and its
- 48. depends on a prior request and the authorization of the members of the Disciplinary even when an inmate might be at risk of being segregated: instead, this right Committee imposing the sanction (s. 5.6.3.2 D.R.I.P.); First of all, the right to counsel is not guaranteed before the Disciplinary Committee
- 49. Although the risk of segregation should theoretically require the Disciplinary Committee to ensure the right to counsel (s. 5.5.4.2.1. D.R.I.P), the reality is quite Although the
- 50. criteria that justifies a refusal under the D.R.I.P.; conditions associated with those hearings and because of the vagueness of the hearing before In fact, class members almost never have access to counsel before or during the the Disciplinary Committee, mostly because of the restrictive
- <u>51</u> compromising the right in question, since many inmates are not aware that they can support hereof, notes that the requirement for a "prior request" has the effect of representation (p. 21); procédurale du processus disciplinaire des personnes incarcérées", Exhibit P-10 in In this respect, the Report of the Quebec Ombudsman entitled "Garantir l'équité represented 윽 of the procedure they need to undertake q ensure
- 52. alleged breach and the Disciplinary Committee hearing (Exhibit P-10, p. 22); This legislative and practical barrier is compounded by the short time allowed to a lawyer, given that there are generally only a few hours between the
- 53. counsel (Exhibit P-10, p. 22); counsel is sometimes so short that in practice it amounts to a denial of the right to Indeed, even in the rare circumstances where the Disciplinary Committee accepts class member to be represented by counsel, the time allowed to contact
- 54 especially since the detainee may have only four hours to prepare for his hearing (art. 5.5.2.3 D.R.I.P); position fully and fairly, and, consequently, his right to a full and complete defence, The absence of legal assistance greatly impairs the detainee's right to present his
- 55. adequately prepared, Exhibit P-10, p. 13, in support hereof; provided to class members before the hearing, which prevents them from In addition, documents relating to a breach, such as an event report, are rarely being
- 56. to the standard of proof "beyond a reasonable doubt" (art. 5.6.4.2. D.R.I.P.); conducted according to the balance of probabilities standard, rather than according This situation is exacerbated by the fact that the Disciplinary Committee hearing is

- 57. alleged event, greatly impairs their right to a full answer and defence; members the possibility to call other inmates as witnesses to testify about the This reality, coupled with the defendant's systemic practice of refusing class
- 58. unreasonably low; testimony of a correctional officer, the chances of the former being believed On a balance of probabilities, where the testimony of an inmate contradicts are the
- 59. the breach report; other words, from among the colleagues of the persons who drafted and approved counsellors, and managers working in a detention facility (art. 5.5.1 D.R.I.P.). In institution from among correctional services officers, probation officers, Additionally, the Disciplinary Committee is appointed by the Director prison
- 60. alleged author of the breach (Exhibit P-10, p. 34); In addition, these same decision makers interact, usually on a daily basis, with the
- <u>ი</u> members are placed in disciplinary segregation; sometimes among the same correctional officers who patrol the area where class Furthermore, the correctional officers who sit on the Discipline Committee
- 62. decision (art. 5.6.10 D.R.I.P.); makers of the Disciplinary Committee, the director of the institution, to review their assessment: instead, it allows and provided for in the D.R.I.P., Finally, the review procedure of the Disciplinary Committee's decision, as applied the person who initially appointed the decision guarantees neither an external nor independent
- 63. segregation or its review was made by a sufficiently impartial decision maker, or at least creates a reasonable apprehension of bias in this regard; This process does not ensure that the initial decision imposing disciplinary
- 64. purpose of disciplinary segregation; manner that is grossly disproportionate and arbitrary when weighed against the segregation infringes the fundamental rights and freedoms of class members in a This systemic violation of procedural fairness in the imposition of disciplinary
- 65. This systemic violation is also disproportionate when weighed against the breaches sanctioned and exceeds what is authorized by the *D.R.I.P.*;
- 66. as minor breaches, including, as appears from the reports on disciplinary offences in her carceral file, **Exhibit P-11**: segregation sanctions during her years of incarceration for what could be described For example, an inmate named Arlene Gallone received numerous disciplinary
- Four days of disciplinary segregation for smoking two cigarettes in her cell (2013-02-08);

- 66.2 Three days of disciplinary segregation for saying "kiss my ass" twice to correctional officer (2013-02-09);
- 66.3 Four days of disciplinary segregation for leaving her cell in a jacket (2013-12-
- 66.4. Five days of (2012-02-13); Five disciplinary segregation for saying "Kiss My Ass" again
- 66.5 Four days of disciplinary segregation because she walked to the health center to get her narcotic medication (2013-12-27); French to go get changed. She was called on the intercom to go to the center in a "jaquette tanguay" and did not understand the guard telling her in
- 67. offences filed as Exhibit P-12: sanctions imposed on another inmate, as appears from the reports on disciplinary The same disproportionality can be seen in the numerous disciplinary confinement
- 67.1. Two days correctional officers to go on suicide prevention, when she was in crisis and had not taken her medication (2016-06-22); of disciplinary segregation for refusing ð cooperate
- 67.2. cell while she was in disciplinary segregation and after she was asked to Two days in disciplinary segregation for banging her head on the door of the stop (2014-11-05);
- 67.3. grosse vache" while already being in a segregation cell (2014-11-05); Two days of disciplinary segregation for calling a correctional officer "ostie de
- 67.4. One day of disciplinary segregation for saying "Don't listen to me ass..." (2014-11-02);
- 67.5. on her hands and stunts in the outdoor yard, for throwing a rock through the Three days of disciplinary segregation for doing a forward roll, a handstand kitchen window and for taking time to walk back to the door (2014-07-02);
- 68 As illustrated by the experiences of those two inmates, disciplinary segregation also a disproportionate effect on people with mental disabilities;
- 69. due to the mental disabilities of class members; Indeed, Q.C.S. frequently uses disciplinary segregation to punish breaches that are
- 70. placed in disciplinary segregation following a misconduct than people not suffering Segregation Following Institutional Misconduct, Exhibit P-13, in support hereof, from a mental disorder, as appears from the article The Effect of Mental Illness on from a mental disorder prior to their detention were 36% more at risk A recent study carried out in the United States has revealed that people suffering

- 71. overrepresented in disciplinary segregation; Quebec's detention facilities. Indeed, people suffering from a mental disorder are This same discriminatory practice in the use of disciplinary segregation exists in
- 72. detention facilities; perpetuates the historical disadvantage suffered by mentally disabled disciplinary segregation S wrong and discriminatory persons in 5 that

D. Fault and unlawful and intentional infringement of class members' rights

- 73. Disciplinary segregation should be an exceptional measure and limited in duration;
- 74. deprivation of liberty frequently, and in an arbitrary and grossly disproportionate However, it appears from the above that Q.C.S. use this drastic measure 으
- 75. Furthermore, this practice of Q.C.S. violates class members' right to liberty and Quebec Charter in a manner that is inconsistent with the principles of fundamental security protected by section 7 of the Canadian Charter and section 1
- 76. unusual treatment, protected by section 12 of the Canadian Charter, This practice also violates the class members' right to not be subjected to cruel and
- 77. and with the respect due to the human person, protected by section Quebec Charter, This practice also violates the right of class members to be treated with humanity 25 으
- 78. This practice further violates the right of sub-group members not to be treated in a discriminatory manner, as set out in section 15 of the Canadian Charter,
- 79. In itself, the *D.R.I.P.* unjustifiably infringes sections 7, 12 and 15 of the *Charter* and sections 1, 10 and 25 of the *Quebec Charter*, Canadian
- 80. 9 of the Canadian Charter and section 24 of the Quebec Charter; victims of a violation of their right not to be arbitrarily detained, protected by section Finally, class members placed in disciplinary segregation for more than 12 days are
- <u>8</u>4 intentional practice that has long been known by the defendant; The infringement of fundamental rights of class members S an unlawful and
- 82. could have on the physical and mental health of inmates subjected to it, as appears from his Rapport annuel d'activités 2007-2008, Exhibit P-14 in support hereof In 2008, the Quebec Ombudsman described the significant impact that segregation

- 83. nursing staff¹³ instruction on He explained that it health care provides for daily visits to prisoners by the institutions' is because of the potential effects of this measure that the
- 84 . However, the Quebec Ombudsman noted that this rule was not always respected;
- 85. Exhibit P-15; présentés par les États parties en application de l'article 19 de la Convention" Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment entitled "Examen des rapports In June 2012, the United Nations Committee against Torture released a report on Canada's compliance with the Convention against Torture and Other
- 86. with a mental illness¹⁴ disciplinary or administrative, which is often extensively prolonged, even for people The Committee expressed concern about the use of solitary confinement, whether
- 87. guaranteed by the Charters; mentale ou faisant partie l'équipe d'intervention suicide réévaluent la condition de personnes suicidaires mises en isolement" therefore infringing the provincial "plusieurs jours pouvaient s'écouler sans que des intervenants spécialisés en santé In his annual report in 2015, the Quebec Ombudsman noted once instruction on health care for incarcerated persons 16, and violating the protections again that
- 88 exclusively with the duty of procedural fairness in the prison's disciplinary process in which he noted numerous breaches within provincial detention facilities (Exhibit That same year, the Quebec Ombudsman published a second report concerned
- 89. institutions in Alberta, Ontario, Manitoba, New Brunswick and Newfoundland 17; guarantees of impartiality in the federal prison system as well as in provincial the appointment process of its members, the report revealed that there exist better Furthermore, with respect to the composition of the Disciplinary Committees and to
- 90. that was essential to ensure respect of the principle of procedural fairness, namely, Ombudsman noted that the government still had not accepted a recommendation year later, ⊒. his Rapport annuel d'activités 2015-2016, the Quebec

^{14 13}

Convention, Exhibit P-15, p. 7. Quebec Ombudsman, Rapport annuel d'activités 2007-2008, Exhibit P-14, p. 112 Examen des rapports présentés par les États parties en application de l l'article 19

¹⁵ suicide intervention team reassessing the condition of suicidal inmates placed in segregation translation : several days could go by without specialized mental health workers or members of the Rapport annuel d'activités 2014-2015, Ö

¹⁶ Instruction on Health Care for Incarcerated Persons, Exhibit P-16

Exhibit P-10, p. 35 and 36

the composition of Disciplinary Committees 18, exclusion of correctional services officers who directly supervise inmates from

- 91 . Committees¹⁹; recommendation, which aimed to put an end to the issue of partiality (or the Quebec Ombudsman reiterated the partiality) with respect to the composition importance 으 implementing of Disciplinary
- 92. since 2016 and that the M.P.S. has still not acted accordingly, as appears from the Rapport annuel d'activités 2018-2019, Exhibit P-17 in support hereof; Ombudsman noted that he has asked the M.P.S. to regulate the use of segregation recently, ₽. his Rapport annuel d'activités 2018-2019, Quebec
- 93. M.P.S. was not able to confirm the date on which it would be completed; In his report, the Quebec Ombudsman also recalled the M.P.S.' commitment made previous year to draft a new directive regarding solitary confinement. The
- 94. Ombudsman that the work relating to the directive would be completed in August In the Rapport annuel d'activités 2018-2019, the M.P.S. replied to the Quebec
- 95. access to information request (2020 -11745), Exhibit P-18 in support hereof; the use of disciplinary segregation in effect, as appears from the response to an However, as of August 24, 2020, there was no new guidance or directive governing
- 96. Canada and the federal government's change in mentality, which materialized in the introduction of Bill C-83, the Q.C.S. asked detention facilities to provide a minimum of two hours of cell time per day to all incarcerated persons, as appears from the response to an access to information request (2020-11745) (exhibit P-18); Finally, in 2019, in the wake of the numerous judgments rendered on segregation in
- 97. Yet with respect to disciplinary segregation, the Q.C.S. continued to leave people in cells without human contact at least 23 hours a day, thereby reaffirming the the response to an access to information request (2020-11745) (exhibit P-18); Q.C.S.'s intentional infringement of members' fundamental rights, as appears from
- 98. harmful and devastating consequences of the use of disciplinary segregation on has long been aware of the problems relating to procedural fairness as well as the infringes the rights of the members protected by the Charters, despite the fact that it In short, it appears from the foregoing that the defendant's wrongful practice class members;

19 18

Exhibit P-8, p. 86. Exhibit P-8, p. 86.

- 99. meaning of section 49 of the Quebec Charter, This is clearly a violation and an unlawful and intentional interference within the
- 100. The State cannot set up a system that violates the Charters
- 101. government actions respect their fundamental rights. democratic These infringements of class members' society and an award of damages rights cannot be justified in \overline{s} necessary to ensure that and

VI. THE CASE OF THE APPLICANT

- 102. The applicant was born on December 3, 1983;
- 103 Institution and Leclerc Institution; The applicant was incarcerated in numerous provincial detention facilities during his including Rivière-des-Prairies Institution, Bordeaux Institution, Quebec
- 104. which was then a provincial detention facility; In November 2014, the applicant was incarcerated at the Leclerc Detention Center,
- 105 disciplinary segregation by the Disciplinary Committee; In December 2014, the applicant was placed in disciplinary segregation following an altercation with another inmate. The applicant was sentenced to days 으
- 106. although she did not provide any evidence or testimony supporting her claim; At the end of the seventh day, a correctional officer, Ms. Khan, explained to the applicant that other inmates did not want him to return to the area he was in before,
- 107. disciplinary segregation; She then gave the applicant two choices: be transferred from the area or remain in
- 108 the disciplinary segregation; The applicant was surprised by the news and suspicious of the reasons provided by Correctional Officer. Thus, he specifically requested ರ be released from
- 109. decision and without being allowed to consult a lawyer in this regard; without being informed of the opportunity to request a review or challenge such a Despite these requests, the applicant remained in solitary confinement for 30 days
- 110. segregation; applicant spent Christmas and New Year's Eve alone ⊒. disciplinary
- No breach report was filed against him; at the Bordeaux Detention Facility following a riot, which he did not participate in. Subsequently, in July 2016, the applicant was placed in lockdown for many weeks

- Finally, more recently, the applicant was placed for more than three months in disciplinary segregation at the Rivière-des-Prairies detention facility, although he received only a three-days sanction;
- 113 diet prescribed by his doctor, and threw his food on the floor; argued with a correctional officer since the food brought to him did not respect the occurred on March 22, 2020, when the applicant was recovering reconstruction surgery which he had undergone 6 days earlier. This imposition of disciplinary segregation was the result of an incident that The applicant from a knee
- 114. The claimant then received a breach report on the grounds that he threw his food at
- 115 However, no nurse was there at the time of the incident and the food was not thrown at the correctional officer;
- 116 measure until March 25, 2020; The applicant was nevertheless placed in disciplinary segregation as a temporary
- 117. the alleged breach; At the hearing before the Disciplinary Committee, the applicant strongly contested
- 118. food: his request was refused; The applicant asked for the name of the nurse at whom he would have thrown his
- 119. incident testify: this request was also denied; The claimant also requested to have other inmates who were there during
- 120. mechanisms respecting procedural fairness; given the established practice of not respecting the rights of incarcerated persons to correctional officer lied about it, he believed there was nothing he could do about it, Although the applicant knew that the alleged breach was untrue and that the
- 121. unknown to this day, was absent; the testimony of the applicant and the testimony of the correctional services officer: At the Disciplinary Committee hearing, the evidence presented consisted solely of nurse who was supposedly hit by the food, and whose identity remains
- 122 disciplinary segregation on March 25, 2020; The testimony of the correctional officer prevailed, and the applicant was placed in
- 123 his operation; see the institution's doctor to check for infection and remove the staples once after Furthermore, since the claimant was in disciplinary segregation, he was only able

- 124. For the same reasons, the claimant was not able to see a physiotherapist before operation; the end of his segregation, although it was prescribed by his doctor following his
- 125. segregation, after the applicant had made a formal request to him a He remained in solitary confinement in the same cell until May 27, 2020, when the Ombudsman intervened and asked the detention facility to few days stop the
- 126 During the majority of his applicant was not represented by counsel; disciplinary hearings throughout those years,
- 127. officers. Instead, officers rely on the fact that there is an explanatory statement informing inmates of this right on breach reports²⁰; It is only recently that he has come to understand the right and benefit of legal assistance, as this information is not usually provided to inmates by correctional
- 128. imposing disciplinary segregation; The same can be said with respect to the right to request a review of the decision
- 129. was expelled from school; Furthermore, every time he was placed in disciplinary segregation, the claimant
- 130. All of these consequences for the claimant, who is now experiencing stress and anxiety; prolonged periods of disciplinary segregation resulted in serious
- 131. especially given the fact the he still has not been convicted; frustration, a strong feeling of helplessness and distrust of the carceral system, Additionally, not being able to present his defense or to be heard have resulted in
- 132 When he was released, he felt alienated and had difficulty adapting to the outside world. He is no longer comfortable in crowds, has become paranoid at times and has developed a form of claustrophobia;
- 133 Although the applicant is aware that his fears are irrational, he nonetheless feels unable to stay close to other people or use public transportation, among other
- 134 numerous damages that must be compensated; fundamental rights The repeated use of disciplinary segregation against the applicant violated his in an unlawful and intentional manner, and caused him
- 135. The applicant is also entitled to punitive damages, since this unlawful practice of the Q.C.S. is intentional and has continued for too long.

20

See also Exhibit P-10 (Equity), p. 21.

VII. THE COMPOSITION OF THE CLASS

- 136. proceedings; The composition of the group makes it difficult or impractical to apply for mandates part in judicial proceedings on behalf of others or for consolidation of
- 137. thousands of people; so, to obtain a mandate from each of them, since this class action is likely to affect It is in fact impossible for the applicant to contact all class members and, even more
- 138 or have been released; Class members are currently dispersed in all 18 detention facilities of the province
- 139 constitute a particularly vulnerable population in financial, social, and human terms; In addition, class members, many of whom suffer from mental health disorders
- 140 provide them access to justice For the vast majority of class members, a class action is the only vehicle that can

VIII. THE REMEDY SOUGHT AND THE METHOD OF RECOVERY

- <u>14</u> impaired memory and concentration, loss depression, phobias, paranoia, psychosis, emotional dependence, disorientation, difficulties cognitive disturbances, anger, anxiety, feelings of abandonment, loss of confidence, The claimant and class members suffered harm that may include psychotic and in social interaction, including with of appetite, sleep disturbances, correctional officers and
- 142 disciplinary hearings safeguards; They feel frustrated and and the consequences that result from the powerless due to the lack of procedural fairness in lack of those
- 143. Although the exact nature quantified using an average, depending on the evidence to be presented; another, all members have suffered damages and those damages are likely to be of damages suffered may vary from one member to
- 144. collective recovery: The defendant may provide the following information to establish the amount of the
- _ period covered by the action; The number of persons placed in disciplinary segregation for the
- 2 The length of placements in disciplinary segregation;
- 145 accurately determine the total amount of the claim; This information and the evidence that will be presented will allow the court to

IX. COMMON QUESTIONS

- 146 action are: member to the defendant that the plaintiff intends to have determined in the class The identical, similar or related questions of fact and law connecting each class
- rights of class members protected by sections Does disciplinary segregation, as practiced by the defendant, violate the Charter of Rights and Freedoms? 7 and 12 of the Canadian
- 12 rights Rights and Freedoms? Does disciplinary segregation, Charter of Rights and Freedoms and by section 10 of the Charter of Human of sub-group members protected by section 15 as practiced by the defendant, violate of the Canadian the
- Ċ. Freedoms and section 24 of the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms? Does placement in disciplinary segregation exceeding 12 days, as practiced by the defendant, violate section 9 of the Canadian Charter of Rights and
- 4 rights of class members protected by sections 1 and 25 of the Charter of Does disciplinary segregation, as practiced by the defendant, violate the Human Rights and Freedoms?
- 5 Does the directive entitled Discipline and responsibility of the incarcerated person violate sections 7, 12, and 15 de la Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms and sections 1, 10, and 25 Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms and sections Freedoms?
- 9 under section 24(1) of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms? Are class members entitled to damages as a just and appropriate remedy
- .7 its use of disciplinary segregation? Did the defendant commit a civil wrong against the class members through
- ∞ What is the nature of the damages suffered by the class members?
- 9 its disciplinary segregation practices? members protected by the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms through Did the defendant unlawfully and intentionally violate the rights of class
- 10. Does disciplinary segregation, as practiced by the defendant, entitle class members to punitive damages under the Charter of Human Rights and

X. THE CONCLUSIONS SOUGHT

147. The defendant identifies the forms of order sought in the class action as follows

GRANT the applicant's class action on behalf of all class members

unjustifiably infringes the rights of class members protected by sections 1 and 25 of the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms and sections 7 and 12 of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms; that the defendant's practice of disciplinary segregation

section 10 of the Charter of Human Rights and Freedom; section unjustifiably infringes the rights of sub-group **DECLARE** that the 15 of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms and by defendant's practice of disciplinary members protected segregation

disciplinary segregation exceeds 12 days; of the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms and section 9 of the unjustifiably infringes the rights of class members protected by section 24 Canadian Charter of Rights **DECLARE** that the defendant's and Freedoms when the placement in practice of disciplinary segregation

Human Rights and Freedoms; **DECLARE** that the directive entitled Discipline and responsibility of the incarcerated person violates sections 7, 12, and 15 de la Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms and sections 1, 10, and 25 Charter of 7,

per placement in disciplinary segregation, with interest at the legal rate plus additional compensation from the date of the filing of the request for authorization to institute a class action; ORDER the defendant to pay each class member an amount of \$2,000

at the legal rate plus additional compensation from the date of the filing of amount of \$2,000 per placement in disciplinary segregation, with interest the request for authorization to institute a class action; ORDER the defendant to pay each sub-group member an additional

\$250 per day spent in disciplinary segregation, with interest at the legal for authorization to institute a class action; rate plus the additional indemnity from the date of the filing of the request ORDER the defendant to pay each class member an additional amount of

the filing of the request for authorization to institute a class action; additional day spent in disciplinary segregation when the duration exceeds 12 days, with interest at the legal rate plus the additional indemnity since CONDEMN the defendant to pay an additional amount of \$250 per

recovery; ORDER that the claims of the class members be subjected to collective

collectively; determine RECONVENE parties within 30 days of the final judgment in order to the measures for distribution of the amounts recovered

ボ expenses of the administrator, notices and distribution to members; WHOLE with costs, including the costs of experts, opinions and

XI. ADEQUATE REPRESENTATION

- 148. The applicant is a class member and has a good knowledge of the file;
- 149 cooperate fully with the prosecutors; He is prepared to invest the resources formalities and tasks related to the exercise of this class action and undertakes to and time necessary to carry out all the
- 150 He acts in good faith with the sole purpose of obtaining justice for himself and each class member;
- 151. of class members, including sub-class members, he intends to represent; For these reasons, the applicant is in a position to ensure adequate representation

XII. THE JUDICIAL DISTRICT

- 152 the district of Montreal; The applicant requests that the class action be brought before the Superior Court in
- 153 district of Montreal; The applicant and a large proportion of the class members currently reside in the
- 154 The defendant also has one of its principal places of business there:

FOR THESE REASONS, MAY IT PLEASE THE COURT:

as a representative; GRANT the request for authorization to bring a class action and to be designated

AUTHORIZE the class action for compensatory and punitive damages against defendant;

of the following group: **GRANT** Mr. George Michael Diggs the status of representative for the members

Any person who is kept in "solitary confinement", i.e., confined to a cell for at least 22 hours per day, following a decision of the Institutional Discipline Committee ("disciplinary segregation"); day, following a decision of

IDENTIFY collectively: as follows the main questions 으 fact or law ð be addressed

- Does disciplinary segregation, as practiced by the defendant, violate the rights of class members protected by sections 7 and 12 of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms?
- 2 Rights and Freedoms? Charter of Rights and Freedoms and by section 10 of the Charter of Human rights of sub-group members protected by section 15 Does disciplinary segregation, as practiced by the defendant, violate the of the Canadian
- ယ Freedoms and section 24 of the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms? by the defendant, violate section 9 of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Does placement in disciplinary segregation exceeding 12 days, as practiced
- 4 Human Rights and Freedoms? rights of class members Does disciplinary segregation, as practiced by the defendant, violate the protected by sections 1 and 25 of the Charter of
- 5 Does the directive entitled Discipline and responsibility of the incarcerated person violate sections 7, 12, and 15 de la Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms and sections 1, 10, and 25 Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms?
- 9 Are class members entitled to damages as a just and appropriate remedy under section 24(1) of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms?
- 7. its use of disciplinary segregation? Did the defendant commit a civil wrong against the class members through
- ∞ What is the nature of the damages suffered by the class members?
- 9 its disciplinary segregation practises? members protected by the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms through Did the defendant unlawfully and intentionally violate the rights of class
- 10 Freedoms? members to punitive damages under the Does disciplinary segregation, as practised by the defendant, entitle class Charter of Human Rights and

IDENTIFY the related conclusions as follows:

GRANT the applicant's class action on behalf of all class members;

and 25 of the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms and sections 7 and unjustifiably infringes the rights of class members protected by sections 1 **DECLARE** that the 12 of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms; defendant's practice of disciplinary

unjustifiably DECLARE that the infringes the defendant's rights 으 practice of sub-group members disciplinary protected by segregation

section 10 of the Charter of Human Rights and Freedom; section 15 of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms and by

disciplinary segregation exceeds 12 days; of the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms and section 9 of the unjustifiably infringes the rights of class members protected by section 24 DECLARES that the defendant's practice of disciplinary Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms when the placement in

Human Rights and Freedoms; Charter of Rights and Freedoms and sections 1, 10, and 25 Charter of incarcerated person violates DECLARE that the directive entitled Discipline and responsibility of the sections 7, 12, and 15 de

plus additional compensation from the date of the filing of the request for per placement in disciplinary segregation, with interest at the legal rate authorization to institute a class action; ORDER the defendant to pay each class member an amount of \$2,000

the request for authorization to institute a class action; at the legal rate plus additional compensation from the date of the filing of amount of \$2,000 per placement in disciplinary segregation, with interest ORDER the defendant to pay each sub-group member an additional

for authorization to institute a class action; rate plus the additional indemnity from the date of the filing of the request \$250 per day spent in disciplinary segregation, with interest at the legal ORDER the defendant to pay each class member an additional amount of

additional day spent in disciplinary segregation when the duration exceeds 12 days, with interest at the legal rate plus the additional indemnity since the filing of the request for authorization to institute a class action; CONDEMN the defendant to pay an additional amount of \$250 per

recovery; **ORDER** that the claims of the class members be subjected to collective

determine RECONVENE the parties within 30 days of the final judgment in order to measures for distribution of the amounts recovered

THE expenses of the administrator, notices and distribution to members WHOLE with costs, including the costs of experts, opinions

to be rendered in the class action in the manner provided by law; DECLARE that unless excluded, class members will be bound by any judgment

excluded themselves of the means of exclusion shall be bound by any judgment **SET** the exclusion period at sixty (60) days after the date of the notice to class members, at the expiry of which time the members of the group who have not to be rendered;

determined by the Court; **ORDER** the publication of a notice to the members in the manner to be

the class action is to be brought and the designation of the judge to hear it; REFER the matter to the Chief Justice for determination of the district in which

THE WHOLE, with costs, including notice costs.

Montreal, the 1st of October 2020

rubel Tobston & lespérance

TRUDEL JOHNSTON & LESPÉRANCE Plaintiff's counsels

M^e André Lespérance

M^e Clara Poissant Lespérance M^e Marianne Dagenais-Lespérance 750, Côte de la Place d'Armes, bureau 90 Montréal (Québec) H2Y 2S8 Téléphone: 514 871-8385 Télécopieur: 514 871-8800.

andre@tjl.quebec clara@tjl.quebec marianne@tjl.quebec

Our Ref: 1341-3

SUMMONS

(Articles 145 et following C.C.P.)

Filing a legal claim

Montreal in the judicial district of Montreal. Action and to Obtain the Status of Representative in the office of the Superior Court of Take notice that the plaintiff has filed this Application for Authorization to Institute a Class

Response to this request

lawyer or, if the plaintiff is not represented, to the plaintiff. 15 days of service of the application or, if you have no domicile, residence or establishment in Québec, within 30 days. The answer must be notified to the plaintiff's You must answer the application in writing, personally or through a lawyer, at the Montreal Courthouse located at 1 Notre-Dame Street East, Montreal, H2Y 1B6, within

3. Failure to respond

to the circumstances, be required to pay the legal costs. judgement may be rendered against you without further notice and you may, according If you fail to answer within the time limit of 15 or 30 days, as applicable, a default

Content of the answer

In your answer, you must state your intention to:

- negotiate a settlement;
- propose mediation to resolve the dispute;
- or if you have no domicile, residence or establishment in Québec, within 3 specified above within 45 days after service of the summons or, in family matters proceeding. The protocol must be filed with the court office in the district the plaintiff in preparing the case protocol that is to govern the conduct of the defend the application and, in the cases required by the Code, cooperate with months after service;
- propose a settlement conference

represented by a lawyer, the lawyer's name and contact information. The answer to the summons must include your contact information and, if you are

5 Change of judicial district

You may ask the court to refer the originating application to the district of your domicile or residence, or of your elected domicile or the district designated by an agreement with the plaintiff.

already seized of the originating application. jurisdiction after it has been notified to the other parties and to the office of the court occurred. The request must be filed with the special clerk of the district of territorial of your domicile or residence or the district where the immovable is situated or the loss the insurance contract or hypothecary debtor, you may ask for a referral to the district main residence, and if you are the employee, consumer, insured person, beneficiary of contract, or to the exercise of a hypothecary right on an immovable serving as your If the application pertains to an employment contract, consumer contract or insurance

Transfer of the claim to the Small Claims Division

exceed those prescribed for the recovery of small claims. according to those rules. If you make this request, the plaintiff's legal costs will not you may also contact the clerk of the court to request that the application be processed If you qualify to act as a plaintiff under the rules governing the recovery of small claims

Convening a management conference

a case management conference to ensure the orderly progress Within 20 days after the case protocol mentioned above is filed, the court may call you to Failing this, the protocol is presumed to be accepted. of the proceeding.

Exhibits in support of the application

In support of the Application for Authorization to Institute a Class Action and to Obtain Status of Representative, the plaintiff intends to use the following exhibits:

EXHIBIT P-1: Sécurité publique, dated 2014; Document d'information Document entitled "Les Correctional", Services Corresctionnels du Québec : by the Ministère de a

EXHIBIT P-2: Document entitled "Analyse prospective de la population carcérale des établissements de détention du Québec 2017-2018 à 2027-

2028", dated January 2020;

EXHIBIT P-3: Rapport annuel d'activités 2014-2015 of the Quebec Ombudsman;

EXHIBIT P-4: traitements cruels, inhumains ou dégradants", dated July 28, 2008 d'activité du Rapporteur spécial sur la torture et autres peines ou (A/63/175); United **Nations** Report, General Assembly, entitled "Rapport

EXHIBIT P-5: and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment", dated August 5, 2011 (A/66/268); of the Special Rapporteur of the Human Rights Council on torture United Nations Report, General Assembly, entitled "Interim report

EXHIBIT P-6: Article entitled "Psychiatric Effects of Solitary Confinement" by Dr. Stuart Grassian, published in the M.D.Washington University Journal of Law & Policy and dated January 2006;

EXHIBIT P-7: pour le traitement des détenus (Règles Nelson Mandela)" December 17, 2015; by United Document entitled "Ensemble de règles minima des Nations Unies Nations General Assembly resolution 70/175 ', adopted

EXHIBIT P-8: Rapport annuel d'activités 2015-2016 of the Quebec Ombudsman;

EXHIBIT P-9: à l'information sur le nombre de jours de réclusion; Document dated April 6, 2016, received after the Demande d'accès

EXHIBIT P-10: dated March 31, 2015; Report of the procédurale du processus disciplinaire des personnes incarcérées", Quebec Ombudsman entitled " Garantir l'équité

EXHIBIT P-11: Gallone; Reports on disciplinary offences from the carceral file of Arlene

EXHIBIT P-12: Reports on disciplinary offences from the carceral file of an inmate

EXHIBIT P-13: the Criminal Justice and Behavior and dated September 2018; Following Institutional Misconduct" by Kyleigh Clark, published in Article entitled "The Effect of Mental Illness on Segregation

EXHIBIT P-14: Rapport annuel d'activités 2007-2008 of the Quebec Ombudsman;

EXHIBIT P-15 Punishment entitled " Examen des rapports présentés par les États Torture Report on and Other Canada's Cruel, Inhuman or compliance with Degrading the Convention against Treatment or

parties en application de l'article 19 de la Convention", dated June 25, 2012 (CAT/C/CAN/CO/6);

EXHIBIT P-16: dated January 18, 2000; Provincial instruction on Health Care for Incarcerated Persons

Rapport annuel d'activités 2018-2019 of the Quebec Ombudsman;

EXHIBIT P-18: Response to an access to information request (2020-11745).

These exhibits are available on request

Application with Notice of Presentation

must be accompanied by a notice stating the date and time it is to be presented. the Code, the establishment of a case protocol is not required; however, the application Book III, V, excepting an application in family matters mentioned in article 409, or VI of If the application is an application in the course of a proceeding or an application under

Montreal, the 1st of October 2020

RUDEL JOHNSTON & LESPERANCE

Plaintiff's counsels

clara@tjl.quebec andre@tjl.quebec Me Marianne Dagenais-Lespérance Me Clara Poissant Lespérance M^e André Lespérance marianne@tjl.quebec Téléphone: 514 871-8385 Montréal (Québec) H2Y 2S8 750, Côte de la Place d'Armes, bureau 90 Télécopieur: 514 871-8800

Our Ref: 1341-3

NOTICE OF PRESENTATION

(Article 574 C.C.P.)

T0: ATTORNEY GENERAL OF QUEBEC

Montreal (Quebec) H2Y 1B6 1 Notre-Dame Street East, 8 Floor

determined by the Coordinating Judge for the Class Action Division. and to Obtain the Status of Representative will be presented at the Superior Court at the Courthouse of Montréal, located at 1 Notre-Dame Street East, at a date and time to be TAKE NOTICE that the present Application for Authorization to Institute a Class Action

PLEASE ACT ACCORDINGLY.

Montreal, the 1st of October 2020

TRUDEL **JOHNSTON & LESPÉRANCE**

Plaintiff's counsels

clara@tjl.quebec andre@tjl.quebec Me Clara Poissant Lespérance Me André Lespérance marianne@tjl.quebec Montréal (Québec) M^e Marianne Dagenais-Lespérance Télécopieur: 514 871-8800 Téléphone: 514 871-8385 750, Côte de la Place d'Armes, bureau 90 H2Y 2S8

Our Ref: 1341-3

No.: 500-06-

(CLASS ACTION)
SUPERIOR COURT
DISTRICT OF MONTREAL

GEORGE MICHAEL DIGGS, residing at the Rivière-des-Prairies Detention Centre, 11 900 Armand-Chaput Avenue, Montréal, District of Montréal, Province of Québec, H1C 1S7

Applicant

C.

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF QUEBEC, having an establishment at 1 Notre-Dame Street East, 8 Floor, Montreal, District of Montreal, Province of Quebec, H2Y 1B6

Defendant

Our Ref: 1341-3

BT 1415

APPLICATION FOR AUTHORIZATION TO INSTITUTE A CLASS ACTION AND TO OBTAIN THE STATUS OF REPRESENTATIVE (Article 575 C.c.p.)

ORIGINAL

Counsels:

M^e André Lespérance M^e Clara Poissant-Lespérance M^e Marianne Dagenais-Lespérance

TRUDEL JOHNSTON & LESPÉRANCE S.E.N.C.

750, Côte de la Place d'Armes, bureau 90 Montréal (Québec) H2Y 2X8 Tél: 514 871-8385 / Fax: 514 871-8800 andre@til.quebec clara@til.quebec marianne@til.quebec